



ISSN: 2456-4419

Impact Factor: (RJIF): 5.18

Yoga 2019; 4(2): 255-258

© 2019 Yoga

www.theyogicjournal.com

Received: 16-05-2019

Accepted: 20-06-2019

M Girinathan

Research Scholar, Tamil Nadu
Physical Education and Sports
University Chennai,
Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. I Lillypuspam

Assistant Professor, Tamil Nadu
Physical Education and Sports
University Chennai, Tamil Nadu,
India

Effect of continuous training and interval training on selected speed and agility among college level men boxers

M Girinathan and Dr. I Lillypuspam

Abstract

Aim: The objective of the present study is to identify the effects of continuous training and interval training performances on speed and agility among college level boxers.

Methodology: Twelve weeks of continuous training was studied with constant-speed procedure and constant-time procedure. Twelve weeks of interval training was studied. ANCOVA statistical analyses have been used to analyses the performance and outcome of the men boxers and Scheffe's post hoc test was used to find out the mean difference of confidence continuous training and Interval training. **Result:** The submaximal-performance effects on speed F-ratio at 0.05 level of confidence for 2 and 87 (df) =3.06, 2 and 86 (df) =3.06. Significant is better than control group. These effects have been compared for agility boxers F-ratio at 0.05 level of confidence for 2 and 87 (df) =3.06, 2 and 86 (df) =3.06. Significant is better than control group.

Keywords: Speed, agility, continuous and interval training

1. Introduction

The present study sought to evaluate the inconsistencies previously observed regarding the pre dominance of continuous and interval training for improving fitness. The experimental design initially equated and subsequently maintained the same relative exercise intensity by both groups throughout the programs. Thirty subjects were equally divided into continuous training (continuous training, exercise at 50% to 60% maximal work) or interval training (30 subject as working group and control group respectively at 100% maximal work) training groups that performed 30 min per day for 3 days in all 12 weeks. Following continuous training and interval training, exercising work rates were parallel examined both the interval training and continuous training. Three equated groups were performed to measure the performance of agility and speed; one group act as control group and another two group act as experimental group. Interval training and continuous training regimens are used to improved physical fitness. There is conflicting evidence as to which is the more effective in improving biochemical, physiological, and performance measures (Cunninghametal. 1979). The purpose of this study were to compare the effects of using the same relative work intensities in the two training modes and examine their effect in continuous training and Interval training tests.

2. Methodology

2.1 Sample selection

Simple random procedure was used to select the subjects for the present study. To delimit the present study only male boxers of Tamil Nadu were selected. Totally, 90 members have been taken as sample size. The sample size was divided into three groups namely; continuous training group, interval training group and control group.

2.2 Collection of data

A selected package of continuous training and interval training were administered to collect the data. 12 weeks was administered to all three groups. The performance of all groups was administered for only 30 min per day especially for continuously 3 days in all 12 weeks.

Corresponding Author:

M Girinathan

Research Scholar, Tamil Nadu
Physical Education and Sports
University Chennai,
Tamil Nadu, India

2.3 Analysis

ANCOVA and Scheffe’s post hoc test were used for the study. The mean, sum of squares, mean square and f-ratio are identified by using the SPSS package and Microsoft version is used to all the tabular columns and figures.

2.4 Selection of variables

The various scientific literatures have been reviewed, based on the review speed and agility among college level boxers in

Tamil Nadu was selected as variables of the present study.

3. Computation of analysis of covariance and post HOC test results on speed

The statistical analysis comparing the initial and final means of Speed due to Continuous training and Interval training among college level boxers is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Ancova results on effect of continuous training and interval training compared with controls on speed

	continuous training	Interval training	Control group	Source of variance	Sum of squares	Df	Mean squares	Obtained f
Pre-test mean	6.30	6.28	6.31	Between	0.01	2	0.01	0.18
				Within	2.70	87	0.03	
Post-test mean	6.18	6.22	6.32	Between	0.31	2	0.16	5.98*
				Within	2.27	87	0.03	
Adjusted post-test mean	6.17	6.23	6.31	Between	0.27	2	0.14	29.68*
				Within	0.40	86	0.005	
Mean Diff	-0.12	-0.06	0.01					

Table F-ratio at 0.05 level of confidence for 2 and 87 (df) =3.06, 2 and 86 (df) =3.06.

*Significant

As shown in table 1, the obtained pre-test means on Speed on Continuous training group was 6.30, Interval training group was 6.28 and control group was 6.31. The obtained pre-test F value was 0.18 and the required table F value was 3.06, which proved that there was no significant difference among initial scores of the subjects.

The obtained post-test means on Speed on Continuous training group was 6.18, Interval training group was 6.22 and control group was 6.32. The obtained post-test F value was 5.98 and the required table F value was 3.06, which proved that there was significant difference among post test

scores of the subjects.

Taking into consideration of the pre-test means and post-test means adjusted post-test means were determined and analysis of covariance was done and the obtained F value 29.68 was greater than the required value of 3.06 and hence it was accepted that there was significant differences among the treated groups.

Since significant differences were recorded, the results were subjected to post hoc analysis using Scheffe's Confidence Interval test. The results were presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Multiple comparisons of paired adjusted means and scheffe’s confidence interval test results on speed

Means				Required. C I
Continuous training group	Interval training group	Control group	Mean difference	
6.17	6.23		-0.06*	0.04
6.17		6.31	-0.13*	0.04
	6.23	6.31	-0.08*	0.04

* Significant

The post hoc analysis of obtained ordered adjusted means proved that there was significant differences existed between Continuous training group and control group (MD:-0.13). There was significant difference between Interval training group and control group (MD:-0.08). There was significant

difference between treatment groups, namely, Continuous training group and Interval training group. (MD:-0.06).

The ordered adjusted means were presented through bar diagram for better understanding of the results of this study in Figure 1.




Fig 1: BAR diagram showing pre-test, post-test and ordered adjusted means on speed discussions on findings on speed

3.1 Discussions on findings on speed

In order to find out the effect of Continuous training and Interval training on Speed the obtained pre and post-test means were subjected to ANCOVA and post hoc analysis through Scheffe's confidence interval test.

The effect of Continuous training and Interval training on Speed is presented in Table 1. The analysis of covariance proved that there was significant difference between the experimental group and control group as the obtained F value 29.68 was greater than the required table F value to be significant at 0.05 level.

Since significant F value was obtained, the results were further subjected to post hoc analysis and the results presented in Table 2 proved that there was significant difference

between Continuous training group and control group (MD: - 0.13) and Interval training group and control group (MD: - 0.08). Comparing between the treatments groups, it was found that there was significant difference between Continuous training and Interval training group among college level boxers.

Thus, it was found that continuous training was significantly better than interval training and control group in improving Speed of the college level boxers.

4. Results on agility

The statistical analysis comparing the initial and final means of Agility due to Continuous training and Interval training among college level boxers is presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Ancova results on effect of continuous training and interval training compared with controls on agility

	Continuous training	Interval training	Control group	Source of variance	Sum of squares	Df	Mean squares	Obtained f
Pre-test mean	10.85	10.74	10.73	Between	0.27	2	0.14	0.75
				Within	15.69	87	0.18	
Post-test mean	10.54	10.41	10.73	Between	1.51	2	0.75	4.04*
				Within	16.24	87	0.19	
Adjusted post-test mean	10.47	10.44	10.76	Between	1.93	2	0.97	19.37*
				Within	4.29	86	0.05	
Mean diff	-0.32	-0.33	-0.01					

Table F-ratio at 0.05 level of confidence for 2 and 87 (df) =3.06, 2 and 86 (df) =3.06.

*Significant

As shown in Table 3, the obtained pre-test means on Agility on Continuous training group was 10.85, Interval training group was 10.74 and control group was 10.73. The obtained pre-test F value was 0.75 and the required table F value was 3.06, which proved that there was no significant difference among initial scores of the subjects.

The obtained post-test means on Agility on Continuous training group was 10.54, Interval training group was 10.41 and control group was 10.73. The obtained post-test F value was 4.04 and the required table F value was 3.06, which proved that there was significant difference among post test

scores of the subjects.

Taking into consideration of the pre-test means and post-test means adjusted post-test means were determined and analysis of covariance was done and the obtained F value 19.37 was greater than the required value of 3.06 and hence it was accepted that there was significant differences among the treated groups.

Since significant differences were recorded, the results were subjected to post hoc analysis using Scheffe's Confidence Interval test. The results were presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Multiple comparisons of paired adjusted means and scheffe's confidence interval test results on agility

Means				Required. C I
Continuous training Group	Interval training Group	Control Group	Mean Difference	
10.47	10.44		0.03	0.14
10.47		10.76	-0.29*	0.14
	10.44	10.76	-0.33*	0.14

* Significant

The post hoc analysis of obtained ordered adjusted means proved that there was significant differences existed between Continuous training group and control group (MD:-0.29). There was significant difference between Interval training group and control group (MD:-0.33). There was no significant

difference between treatment groups, namely, Continuous training group and Interval training group. (MD: 0.03).

The ordered adjusted means were presented through bar diagram for better understanding of the results of this study in Figure 2.




Fig 2: Bar diagram showing pre-test, post-test and ordered adjusted means on agility

4.1 Discussions on findings on agility

In order to find out the effect of Continuous training and Interval training on Agility the obtained pre and post-test means were subjected to ANCOVA and post hoc analysis through Scheffe's confidence interval test.

The effect of Continuous training and Interval training on Agility is presented in Table 3. The analysis of covariance proved that there was significant difference between the experimental group and control group as the obtained F value 19.37 was greater than the required table F value to be significant at 0.05 level.

Since significant F value was obtained, the results were further subjected to post hoc analysis and the results presented in Table 4 proved that there was significant difference between Continuous training group and control group (MD:-0.29) and Interval training group and control group (MD:-0.33). Comparing between the treatments groups, it was found that there was no significant difference between Continuous training and Interval training group among college level boxers.

Thus, it was found that continuous training and interval training were significantly better than control group in improving Agility of the college level boxers.

5. Conclusion

In the present study, the effects of 12 week of exercise (continuous training and interval training), were studied in college level men boxers. The data shows that there is a significant increase in the performance of speed and agility training of the male boxers.

6. Reference

1. Edward L. Fox and Donald K. Mathews, Interval Training London: W.B. Saunders Company, 1974.
2. Fox *et al.* The Physiological Basis of Physical Education and Athletics. 4th edition Brown, Dubuque, U.S.A., 1988.
3. Frank W. Dick, Sports Training Principles, Cambridge, University Press, 1992.
4. Hardayal Singh. Sports Training – General Theory and Methods, Patiala: Netaji Subhas National Institute of Sports, 1984.
5. Johnson BL, Nelson JK. Practical Measurement and Evaluation in Physical Education, New Delhi: Surjeet Publications, 1988.
6. Karal A. Book Walter. Foundations and Principles of Physical Education, Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company, 1969.
7. Kerr R. Psychomotor Learning, Philadelphia, Pa.: Saunders Publishing, 1982.
8. Morehouse Lawrence E, Leonard Gross. Total Fitness in 30 minutes a Week, New York: Simon and Schuster, 1972.
9. Rodriguez, Robert G. The Regulation of Boxing: A History and Comparative Analysis of Policies among American States. McFarland, 2009.
10. Shaver, Larry G. Essentials of Exercise Physiology, New Delhi: Subject Publication, 1982.
11. Strukic PJ. Basic Physiology, New York: Spring Ervellong Inc., 1981.
12. Todor Bompa O. Periodization: Theory and Methodology of Training [4th ed], Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics Publishers, 1999.