



ISSN: 2456-4419

Impact Factor: (RJIF): 5.18

Yoga 2019; 4(1): 409-411

© 2019 Yoga

www.theyogicjournal.com

Received: 17-11-2018

Accepted: 20-12-2018

**Dr. Parveen Malik**

Assistant Professor, Department  
of Physical Education, JAT  
College, Kaithal, Haryana, India

## Comparison of flow state in basketball and handball players

**Dr. Parveen Malik**

### Abstract

The present study is an attempt to find out comparison of flow state between basketball and handball players. Sample was taken from 50 basketball and 50 handball male players randomly selected from different colleges of Panjab University. To assess the flow state of subject Jackson & Eklund Dispositional Flow Scale-2 (DFS-2) 2004 was used. The flow scales assess nine dimension of flow but in present study we will present six dimensions of Flow i.e. Challenge Skill Balance, Action Awareness Merging, Clear goals, Transformation of time, Unambiguous Feedbacks and Total Flow T-test was used to compare the flow state of basketball and handball players. Significant difference was found between the both groups and the level of significance was 0.05. The results revealed that the handball players were better than the basketball players. The results of the study will assist coaches and players to know the Flow State variables and their impact on the performance of basketball and handball players.

**Keywords:** Comparison flow, basketball and handball

### Introduction

Understanding the psychological factors that accompany successful athletic performance is a high priority for applied sports psychology, with a major area of focus being mental links to optimal performance. To advance knowledge in this area, it is important to examine specific psychological constructs with theoretical relevance to optimal performance in order to understand what psychological processes might be contributing to quality of performance. Flow is an optimal psychological state that occurs when there is a balance between perceived challenges and skills in an activity (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990)<sup>[1]</sup>. It is a state of concentration so focused that it amounts to absolute absorption in an activity. Research on flow in sport and exercise has increased in recent years.

Knowledge of factors associated with the attainment of flow is an important goal for those interested in the quality of athletes' experience and performance in competition. Theoretically, flow, as an optimal mental state, would be expected to be associated with optimal athletic performance as well as providing an optimal experience. Flow is generally viewed as a peak performance state. Hence, an understanding of factors that promote flow states in exercise will inform the strategies of exercise. Flow leads to positive effective reactions, which they equate with enjoyment. There is a consensus that flow is a state in which one is totally absorbed in the task, leading to optimal physical and mental functioning. It is seen as an altered state of awareness in which one feels deeply involved in the activity and where mind and body operate harmoniously. The present study is an attempt to find out the significance of Flow state of Basketball and Handball players. It was hypothesized that there is significant difference between Basketball and Handball players experiential state scale scores as measured by the Dispositional Flow Scale-2, (DFS-2). The results of present study will assist the coaches and players to modify their training program and will also help them to understand the concept of flow and its effect on sports performance.

### Methodology

The subjects for the study were 50 male basketball and 50 handball players from different colleges of Panjab University.

**Corresponding Author:**

**Dr. Parveen Malik**

Assistant Professor, Department  
of Physical Education, JAT  
College, Kaithal, Haryana, India

To assess the flow state of subject Jackson & Eklund Dispositional Flow Scale-2 (DFS-2) 2004 was used. The flow scales assess nine dimension of flow but in present study we will present six dimensions of Flow i.e. Challenge Skill Balance, Action Awareness Merging, Clear goals, Transformation of time, Unambiguous Feedbacks and Total Flow. In order to examine the study t-test was used and the level of significance was 0.05

**Analysis nd Results**

The comparison between the inter college male Basketball and Handball players for the selected Flow State variables were statistically analyzed by Using ‘t’ test. The data pertaining to the same is presented in Table no. 1 to Table no. 6.

**Table 1:** Comparison of Scores on Challenge Skill Balance among Inter College Level Male Basketball and Handball Players

Variables	Group	Mean	S D	S E	‘t’ ratio
Challenge skill balance	Basketball	15.28	2.47	0.35	3.7048*
	Handball	16.16	2.69	0.38	

\*Significant at .05 level  
‘t’.05 (98)=1.6606

It is depicted from the Table no. 1 that the Handball players have good Challenge Skill Balance (M=16.16) than Basketball players (M=15.28). The calculated ‘t’ values in case of inter college Basketball and Handball was found to be statistically insignificant as the value obtained was 3.7048 whereas, the tabulated value was 1.6606 at 98 degrees of freedom at .05 level of significance.

**Table 2:** Comparison of Scores on Clear Goals among Inter College Level Male Basketball and Handball Players

Variables	Group	Mean	S D	S E	‘t’ ratio
Clear goals	Basketball	16.32	3.22	0.45	2.7056*
	Handball	17.76	1.95	0.28	

\*Significant at .05 level  
‘t’.05 (98)=1.6606

It is depicted from the Table no. 2 that the Handball players have good Clear Goals (M=17.76) than Basketball players (M=16.32). The calculated ‘t’ values in case of inter college Basketball and Handball was found to be statistically significance as the value obtained was 2.7056 whereas, the tabulated value was 1.6606 at 98 degrees of freedom at .05 level of significance.

**Table 3:** Comparison of Scores on Transformation of Time among Inter College Level Basketball and Handballplayers

Variables	Group	Mean	S D	S E	‘t’ ratio
Transformation of time	Basketball	13.38	2.61	0.37	2.4690*
	Handball	14.70	2.73	0.39	

\*Significant at .05 level  
‘t’.05 (98)=1.6606

It is depicted from the Table no. 3 that the Handball players have good Transformation of time (M=14.70) than Basketball players (M= 13.38). The calculated ‘t’ values in case of inter college Basketball and Handball was found to be statistically insignificant as the value obtained was 2.4690 whereas, the tabulated value was 1.6606 at 98 degrees of freedom at .05 level of significance.

**Table 4:** Comparison of Scores on Unambiguous Feedback among Inter College Level Male Basketball and Handball Players

Variables	Group	Mean	S D	S E	‘t’ ratio
Unambiguous feedback	Basketball	15.46	2.89	0.41	2.4748*
	Handball	16.78	2.43	0.34	

\*Significant at .05 level  
‘t’.05 (98)=1.6606

It is depicted from the Table no. 4 that the Handball players have good Unambiguous feedback (M=16.78) than Basketball Players (M=15.46). The calculated ‘t’ values in case of inter college Basketball and Handball was found to be statistically significance as the value obtained was 2.4748 whereas, the tabulated value was 1.6606 at 98 degrees of freedom at .05 level of significance.

**Table 5:** Comparison of scores on action awareness merging among inter college level basketball and handball players

Variables	Group	Mean	S D	S E	‘t’ ratio
Action Awareness Merging	Basketball	12.72	2.17	0.31	3.0996*
	Handball	14.16	2.47	0.35	

\*Significant at .05 level  
‘t’.05 (98)=1.6606

It is depicted from the Table no. 5 that the Handball players have good Action Awareness (M=14.16) than Basketball players (M= 12.72). The calculated ‘t’ values in case of inter college Basketball and Handball was found to be statistically insignificant as the value obtained was 3.0996 whereas, the tabulated value was 1.6606 at 98 degrees of freedom at .05 level of significance

**Table 6:** Comparison of Scores on Total Flow among Inter College Level Male Basketball and Handballplayers

Variables	Group	Mean	S D	S E	‘t’ ratio
Total Flow	Basketball	131.62	14.76	2.09	4.3099*
	Handball	144.36	14.80	2.09	

\*Significant at .05 level  
‘t’.05 (98)=1.6606

It is depicted from the Table no. 6 that Handball players have good Flow State (M= 144.36) than Basketball players (M=131.62). The calculated ‘t’ values in case of inter college Basketball and Handball was found to be statistically significance as the value obtained was 4.3099 whereas, the tabulated value was 1.6606 at 98 degrees of freedom at .05 level of significance.

**Conclusion of the study**

It is concluded from the above findings that the significant difference was found between Basketball and Handball players on the variables, challenge skill balance, action-awareness merging, clear goals, unambiguous feedback, time transformation and total flow.

**References**

1. Csikszentmihalyi M. Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience. New York: Harper & Row, 1990.
2. Jackson SA, Eklund RC. Assessing Flow in Physical Activity: The Flow State Scale-2 and Dispositional Flow Scale-2. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology. 2002; 24(2):133-150.

3. Jackson SA, Eklund RC. The Flow Scales Manual. Morgantown, WV Fitness Information Technology, 2004.
4. Jackson SA, Marsh HW. Development and Validation of a Scale to Measure Optimal Experience: The Flow State Scale. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*. 1996; 18:17-35.
5. Kimiecik JC, Stein GL. Examining Flow Experience in Sport Contexts: Conceptual Issues and Methodological Concerns. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*. 1992; 4(2):144-160.