



ISSN: 2456-4419

Impact Factor: (RJIF): 5.18

Yoga 2017; 2(2): 259-260

© 2017 Yoga

www.theyogicjournal.com

Received: 23-05-2018

Accepted: 27-06-2018

Dr. Parveen Kumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana, India

Comparative study of job satisfaction between male coaches and physical education teachers with the reference of their personality dimension of Haryana state

Dr. Parveen Kumar

Abstract

The purpose of the present study was to compare the job satisfaction between male coaches and physical education teachers with the reference of their personality dimension of Haryana state. The sample included 100 subjects (50 male coaches and 50 male physical education teachers) selected by random sampling method. Tool used (Teacher's job satisfaction questionnaire by Dr. Parmod Kumar). The collected data was analyzed by dependent T-Test analysis showed there is a significant difference. The results were analyzed by the SPSS software. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Keywords: Physical education, personality dimension

Introduction

Job satisfaction is defined as the extent to which an employee feels self-motivated, content & satisfied with his/her job. Job satisfaction happens when an employee feels he or she is having job stability, career growth and a comfortable work life balance. A satisfied employee is always important for an organization as he/she aims to deliver the best of their capability. Every employee wants a strong career growth and work life balance at workplace. If an employee feels happy with their company & work, they look to give back to the company with all their efforts. Importance of job satisfaction can be seen from two perspectives i.e. from employee and employer perspective.

In this present study an attempt was made by the researcher to compare job satisfaction between male coaches and male physical education teachers with the reference of their personality dimension of Haryana state.

Method and procedure

A survey type study has been designed to achieve the objectives of the study. For the present investigation, the sample included 100 subjects (50 male coaches and 50 male physical education teachers) from Haryana state selected by random sampling method. Tool for data collection was a Teacher's job satisfaction questionnaire by Dr. Parmod Kumar. The collected data was analysed by dependent T-Test analysis showed there is a significant difference. The results were analysed by the SPSS software. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Table 1: Mean and Standard Deviation between means and T-Ratio of Job Satisfaction of male coaches and male physical education teachers with the reference of their personality dimension of Haryana state

Group	Mean	SD	't'-ratio
Male Coaches	25.1	3.10	0.54
Male Physical Education Teachers	24.42	4.35	

DF=98, Significant at 0.05 level

Correspondence

Dr. Parveen Kumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana, India

The table-1 shows that there was no significant difference between the job satisfaction level of male coaches and male physical education teachers with the reference of their personality dimension. The calculated 't' ratio was less than calculated t value at 0.05 level of significant.




Fig 1: Mean and Standard Deviation of Difference between means and T-Ratio of Job Satisfaction of male coaches and male physical education teachers with the reference of their personality dimension of Haryana state

Conclusion

On the basis of findings, it was concluded by the researcher that there was no significant difference between the job satisfaction level of male coaches and male physical education teachers with the reference of their personality dimension.

References

1. Aggarwal SC, Aggarwal V. A study of Teachers in relation to their job satisfaction. *Journal of Teacher Education and Research*. 2009; 4(2):58-64.
2. Bradbury TN, Finchman FD, Beach SRH. A Research on the nature and determinants of marital satisfaction: A decade in review. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 2000; 62.
3. Lasswell ME, Lasswell TE. *Marriage and the family*. Washington D.C. Health and Company, 1982.
4. Lawler EE, Porter LW. *The effect of performance and job satisfaction*, 1967.
5. Locke HJ, Wallace KM. Short Marital Adjustment test; Their reliability and Validity. *Marriage and Family Living*, 1959; 21.
6. Ridley A. Exploring the impact of work satisfaction and involvement on marital interaction when both partner are employed. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 1973; 35(2).
7. Tripathy KK. A Study of Role structure and Role stress in relation to work satisfaction of primary school male teachers. Unpublished thesis of education, Punjab University, Chandigarh, 2003.