



ISSN: 2456-4419

Impact Factor: (RJIF): 5.18

Yoga 2017; 2(1): 80-83

© 2017 Yoga

www.theyogicjournal.com

Received: 08-11-2016

Accepted: 09-12-2016

**Praveen Singh Jadon**

PhD Scholar, Lakshambai

National University of Physical

Education, Gwalior Madhya

Pardeh, India

## Comparison of health related life style among different Indian professions in relation to nutritional assessment

**Praveen Singh Jadon**

### Abstract

Numerous research studies have established clear links between a positive state of mind and good physical health. There are many other studies that suggest deliberately cultivating a positive state of mind can help fight off ill health. Objective: - The purpose of this investigation was to Comparison of Health Related Life Style among Different Indian Professions in Relation to nutritional Assessment. Method: - 800 males of MP were selected randomly as a subject of study. The age of the subjects were ranged from 30-40 years. Subjects were from different professions each group has 1 subjects. All the contents related to life style were assessed by using life style assessment inventory (LSAI). Results: - ANOVA was used to reveal the significance difference among Different Indian Professions in Relation to nutritional Assessment. Level of significant was set at 0.05. A significant difference was found among Different Indian Professions in Relation to nutritional Assessment. Conclusion: - It can be concluded that nutritional Assessment significantly differs among Different Indian Professions.

**Keywords:** nutritional, assessment.

### Introduction

There is common belief that happy, possible people are healthier. Numerous research studies have established clear links between a positive state of mind and good physical health. There are many other studies that suggest deliberately cultivating a positive state of mind can help fight off ill health Much of his has to do with stress, the world now used to denote all land of pressures. But stress it self is not the ultimate culprit – it is how you cope with its matters. A certain amount of creative tension is a stimulus that can motivate and empower a person. However, too much pressure can create constant anger or worry, which in turn, can lower your resistance to illness. Norms are necessary in order to interpret test scores. In physical education norms may be based upon various combinations of age, height and weight. In this situation average scores are usually given with other values to indicate the significance of variance from this point. For example in use of height-weight tables usually an individual 10% below the average weight tables usually an individual 10% below the average weight for his age is considered to be under weight and if 20% above he is considered to obese by these norms. Norms are values representative of a particular population. Normative tables provide means to compare student's performance with larger representative population. These comparisons provide valuable information to assist teacher and student in determining the relationship of individual performance scores to scores of youth of the same age and gender. A healthy lifestyle is a valuable resource for reducing the incidence and impact of health problems, for recovery, for coping with life stressors, and for improving quality of life. However, convincing Canadian that health is a good investment, and providing guidance and incentives to create a culture that fosters health, are complex processes. How do we direct efforts to engage people in becoming and staying healthy? (Renne Lyons and Lynn Langille, April, 2000 <sup>[20]</sup>)

### Material and Methodology

#### Selection of Subject

For the purpose of present study 800 males of MP were selected randomly as a subject of study. The age of the subjects were ranged from 30-40 years. Subjects were from different

**Correspondence**

**Praveen Singh Jadon**

PhD Scholar, Lakshambai

National University of Physical

Education, Gwalior Madhya

Pardeh, India

professions i.e. 100 subjects from Doctors, 100 from Engineers, 100 from School Teachers, 100 from College/University Teachers, 100 from Businessman, 100 from Beurocrates, 100 from lawyers and 100 from Police services.

**Selection of variables**

After gleaning through all the scientific literature, journals, magazines available in the library of Lakshmbai National Institute of Physical Education, (Deemed University), Gwalior, M.P. and keeping feasibility criteria in mind following contents related to life style assessment were selected for the purpose of present study:

**Criterion measure**

All the contents related to life style were assessed by using life style assessment inventory (LSA)

**Procedure**

The individual from various professions were consulted personally and their co-operation was solicited. Respondents

were given a questionnaire with necessary instructions. Necessary instructions were passed on to the subjects before providing the questionnaire. The research scholar was motivated the student respondents by promising to send a separate abstract of the conclusions of his study to each of the subjects. Confidentially of responses were guaranteed so that the subject would not camouflage their real feelings. Research scholar was requested for filling the questionnaire as quickly as possible.

**Statistical Procedure**

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to see the difference among the different teams of volleyball players at the significant level of .05. For further analysis "Post Hoc Test" (LSD) was applied.

**Result**

The questionnaire comprised of 42 questions covering various aspects of mental toughness of universities volleyball (men) players. The findings of the present study are presented in the following tables:-

**Table 1:** Descriptive statistics of different professions (Doctors, Engineers, School Teachers, College/ University Teachers, Businessmen, Beurocrates, Lawyers and Police Services) in relation to Nutritional Assessment

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Doctors	100	7790	77.9	16.53535
Engineers	100	4009	40.09	24.08273
School Teachers	100	6022	60.22	25.16323
College/ University Teachers	100	5985	59.85	22.91667
Businessmen	100	2571	25.71	3.278687
Beurocrates	100	6024	60.24	55.92162
Lawyers	100	4124	41.24	20.97212
Police Services	100	7879	78.79	13.07667

The average and variance of Doctors 77.9± 16.53535 Engineers 40.09± 24.08273 School Teachers 60.22± 25.16323 College/ University Teachers 59.85± 22.91667 Businessmen

25.71± 3.278687 Beurocrates 60.24± 55.92162 Lawyers 41.24± 20.97212 Police Services 78.79± 13.07667 in relation to Nutritional Assessment

**Table 2:** Analysis of Variance of Nutritional Assessment among Different the individuals belonging to different professions on their selected Life Style Assessment Contents

Source of Variation	df	SS	MSS	F-ratio
Between Groups	7	243611.2	34801.6	1530.186*
With in Groups	792	18012.76	22.74338	

\* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence  
F 0.05 (7, 792) = 2.02

**Table – II** revealed that there was significant difference the individuals belonging to different professions on Nutritional Assessment, as obtained F-ratio was 1530.186 which was higher value than the value 2.02, required for F-ratio to be significant at 0.05 level with (7,792) degree of freedom.

Since the one way analysis of variance was found significant in relation to Nutritional Assessment, the least significant difference (LSD) test was applied to find out which of the differences of the means amongst the different professions were statistically significant.

**Table 3:** Least Significant Difference Post-Hoc Test for Means of All Professions in relation to Nutritional Assessment

Doctors	Engineers	School Teachers	College/ University Teachers	Business-men	Beuroc-rates	Law-yers	Police services	MD.	CD.
77.9	40.09							37.81*	1.32
77.9		60.22						17.68*	
77.9			59.85					18.05*	
77.9				25.71				52.19*	
77.9					60.24			17.66*	
77.9						41.24		36.66*	
77.9							78.79	0.89	
	40.09	60.22						20.13*	

	40.09		59.85				19.76*
	40.09			25.71			14.38*
	40.09				60.24		20.15*
	40.09					41.24	1.15
	40.09						78.79
		60.22	59.85				0.37
		60.22		25.71			34.51*
		60.22			60.24		0.02
		60.22				41.24	18.98*
		60.22					78.79
			59.85	25.71			34.14*
			59.85		60.24		0.39
			59.85			41.24	18.61*
			59.85				78.79
				25.71	60.24		34.53*
				25.71		41.24	15.53*
				25.71			78.79
					60.24	41.24	19*
					60.24		78.79
						41.24	78.79
							37.55*

\* Significant at .05 level.

It is evident from table –III that mean differences of different profession in relation to Nutritional Assessment was found to be significant between Doctors and Engineers, Doctors and School Teachers, Doctors and College/University Teachers, Doctors and Businessman, Doctors and Beaucroates, Doctors and Lawyers, Engineers and School Teachers, Engineers and College/University Teachers, Engineers and Businessman, Engineers and Beaucroates, Engineers and Police services, School Teachers and Businessman, School Teachers and Lawyers, School Teachers and Police services, College/University Teachers and Businessman, College/University Teachers and Lawyers, College/University Teachers and Police services, Businessman and Beaucroates, Businessman and Lawyers, Businessman and Police services, Beaucroates and Lawyers, Beaucroates and Police services, Lawyers and Police services, at .05 level of confidence. Mean differences of different profession in relation to Nutritional Assessment was found to be insignificant between Doctors and Police services, Engineers and Lawyers, School Teachers and College/University Teachers, School Teachers and Beaucroates, College/University Teachers and Beaucroates at .05 level of confidence. To observe the difference among the individuals belonging to different professions on their selected Life Style Assessment Contents, the analysis of variance was adopted and data pertaining to these have been presented in table II and III.

#### Discussion

The analysis of data reveals that there were significant difference in emotional wellness assessment among the different profession individual as calculated F (301.89) were greater than the tabulated F (2.02) respectively. After applying post hoc test as shown in table III it was found that there was significant difference among different professions individuals. As police service has the highest mean value (78.79). The significant differences in emotional wellness assessment in various Professions individuals were probably due to the different nature of mental training and prerequisites components for the individual. Such results may also be due to change in climatic conditions, nature of job and may be due to the work pressure.

#### References

1. Anspaugh David S, Hamrich Michael H, Rosato Frank D. Wellness-Concepts and Application Mosby: Von

Halfmon Press, Inc, 1994

2. Green LW, Kreuter MW. Health Promotion Planning: An Educational and Environmental approach (3rd ed.). Mountain View, CA: Mayfield, 1999.
3. Hasted Douglas N, Lacy Allan C, Measurement and Evaluation in Physical Education and Exercise Science Arizona: Gorsuch Scarisbrick Publishers, 1994.
4. Gruenigena Vivian E, Von Frasurea Heidi E, Jenisonc Eric L, Hopkins Michael P. Longitudinal assessment of quality of life and lifestyle in newly diagnosed ovarian cancer patients: The roles of surgery and chemotherapy, 2006.
5. InJa Song JeongA Lee, HyeonSook Lim, Dietary health-related lifestyle habits and blood parameters of non-insulin dependent diabetes patients. Nutritional Sciences, 2008; 500.
6. Jessie Siau, Ganz Patricia A, Lee Jack J. Quality of life assessment: an independent prognostic variable for survival in lung cancer", Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2005; 591-598.
7. Koivusilta LK, Rimpelä AH, Rimpelä MK. Health Related Lifestyle in Adolescence Predicts Adult Educational Level: a Longitudinal Study, Epidemiology and Community Health. 1999, 794.
8. Livingston Abbey, Diane, How-to manual on needs Assessment, Ontario Ministry of Tourism and Recreation 1982.
9. Lord Stephen, Kerr Graham, Anstey Kaarin, Prevention of Injuries in Older People PEDIATRICS, 2000; 1082-1089.
10. Lipnickey Cross Susan. The Potential for Formulating A Healthy Lifestyle, European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2006; 60:614-622.
11. Mahle William T, Clancy Robert R, Moss Edward M, Neurodevelopmental Outcome and Lifestyle Assessment in School-Aged and Adolescent Children With Hypoplastic Left Heart Syndrome, PEDIATRICS, 1999.
12. Mackie PC, Jessen EC. The lifestyle assessment questionnaire: an instrument to measure the impact of disability on the lives of children with cerebral palsy and their families, Child: care, health and development, 1998, 476-486.
13. McLeroy KR, Bibeou D, Steekler A, Glanz K. An Ecological Perspective on Helath Promotion Progra

- Helath Education Quarterly, 1998, 17.
14. Oliveira de CM, Sheiham A, Oral health-related quality of life and the IOTN index as predictors of children's perceived needs and acceptance for orthodontic treatment, *British Dental Journal*. 2008, 239.
  15. Paffenbarger Ralph S, Hyde Robert T, Wing Alvin L, Jung I-Min Lee, Dexter L, Kampert James B. The Association of Changes in Physical-Activity Level and Other Lifestyle Characteristics with Mortality among Men”, *Child: care, health and development*, 1996.
  16. Penson Richard T, Wenzel Lari B, Vergote Ignace, Cella David. Quality of Life Considerations in Gynecologic Cancer, *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 2006; S247-S257.
  17. Rowe JW, Kahn RL. Successful Aging, *The Gerontologist*, 1997, 37.
  18. Rosenberg Stanley D, Drake Robert E. Wolford George L, Dartmouth Assessment of Lifestyle Instrument (DALI): A Substance Use Disorder Screen for People With Severe Mental Illness, *Am J Psychiatry*. 1998; 155:232-238.
  19. Dey Manika Debnath, Construction of norms for specific Physical Fitness of Female Gymnasts at various levels, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Jiwaji University, 1988.
  20. Lyons Renne, Langille Lynn. Helathy Life Syte: Strengthening the Effectness of Life Style Approaches to Improve Health, unpublished Research Work, The Canadian Consortium of health promotion Research Centres, 2000.
  21. Singh Prem. Normative Study of the Physical Fitness of Male Teenagers of state of Jammu and Kashmir, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Jiwaji University, 1988.